February 12, 1986

Public Hearing

Case # 86-1RB
Applicants:
Leonard J. Peterson
Eugene B. Peterson

Board Members present:
Chairman Greg Bohosiewicz
Gail Proctor
Tom Mitchell

Meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman Greg Bohosiewicz.

Abutters present: Mike Davidson, Bill Abbott

Authorizations to act as agents we then handed to the Board. Piper/Laurien Consulting Service Inc. and Leighton White were spoke in behalf of Len Peterson. (see attached)

Chairman Bohosiewicz stated the applicants were being heard before the Regulartory Board and not the ZBA. The Regulartory Board would issue the permit after all points were evaluated.

First it was brought to the applicant's agent's attention that the local paper had printed the legal notice as a news item and the Regulatory Board asked the agents to confirm that the news item could be accepted as a legal notice of the hearing. The agents' accepted this.

Phil Laurien of Piper, Laurien Consulting Service, Inc. then opened discussion by explaining the parcel of land, Lot E-017, in question, to the Board. Laurien stated that Abutter Blanchard would grant a 50 ft. easement off Rte. 31 for access to the land. He then presented photos, maps (attached), and diagrams. He explained the "project" would take six to seven years to complete. The project is divided into 6 phases- each phase to be completed before the next phase is begun.

Before the first phase is started the sedimentation basin work must be completed. This basin is to be cleaned out annually or more if needed.

No excavation will be done below a minimum of 2 feet above ground water level. Before work goes into operation Mr. Laurien suggested the pit be tested.

Visually from Rte. 101 the site sets 400 feet beyond a wooded area. From Rte. 31 a tree barrier is also present.

Mr. Laurien explained he understood the Peterson's long range plans included a nursery or a Christmas tree farm.

Mr. Abbott asked about the noise level. The agents and the Regulartory Board both agreed at this time no residents are close enough to be bothered by the noise. Mr. White guessed he would probably operate 9 to 10 months out of the year and estimated the traffic would be 3 trucks an hour traveling Rte. 31.

After commending Mr. Laurien for his excellent presentation Gail Proctor then asked if Mr. Laurien was a quifer aware the land in question is part of the aquartic zone.

Mr. Laurien was not but felt this project could still be carried out on this particular section of land.

The Board then asked for Mr. Laurien to contact the State Conservation Commission and have in writing an evaluation of the wetland. Also to be stated are the state's stepulations in order that the permit be granted.

Mr. Laurien and Mr. White also suggested the land should be tested for an estimate on the seasonal high ground water level.

Mr. Laurien was also asked to have the owner of the lot send a written letter of intent to the Regular tory Board.

Mr. Laurien agreed to contact Gail Proctor as soon as he had contacted the hydorgeologist and confirm if the evidence could be ready within 3 weeks.

It was also requested by the Board that this project be bonded. All agents agreed.

Meetings were then set for March 5, 1986
Wilton Town Hall Court Room
7:30 p.m. Case # 86-1 Peter J. Carini & Steven E. Krook
8:30 p.m. Case # 86-1RB Second Hearing-Peterson Lot
8:45 p.m. Case # 86-2 High Mowing School

Tom Mitchell motion to adjourn at 9:44 p.m. Gail Proctor seconded the motion Motion passed. Meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

* Depending on what use the Peterson's natural to use the land after the excavation will determine how close to the water table gravel can be removed.

If up to 3' B gravel is to be removed - there is a possibility the dev rights may be deeded to the cons. Comm. If the lot is to be developed (i.e. hauser) then 6' is recommended.