1				
2		Town of Wilton		
3		Zoning Board of Adjustment		
4		Meeting Minutes		
5	Date:	October 11, 2022		
6	Time:	7:45 p.m.		
7	Place:	Florence Rideout Elementary School Gymnasium, 18 Tremont Street		
8	Present:	Neil Faiman (Chair), Joanna Eckstrom (Vice Chair); Paul Levesque; Jeff Stone,		
9		Peter Howd (alternate); Judith Klinghoffer (alternate)		
10	Absent:	Andy Hoar.		
11	Attendees:	Michael Gravell – 137 Intervale Rd		
12		Mike George – 4 Rocala Rd		
13		Karney Gravell – 137 Intervale Rd		
14		Lisa Guinte –Rercheron		
15		Chuck Hodgdon – 40 W. Intervale		
16		Bill Abrahams-Dematte – 72 Barrett Hill Rd		
17		Deb Abrahams-Dematte – 72 Barrett Hill Rd		
18		Linda Kelly – 1 River Bend Way		
19		Dan Salkbury – 54 Maple St		
20		Bradley Allen – 11 Singer Brook Rd, Milford		
21		Alexus Lefort – Manchester NH		
22		Gregory Allen – 11 Singer Brook Rd, Milford		
23		Chris Guida – 206 Elm		
24		Kenny Lestrom – 586 Turnpike Rd, NZ		
25		Christina Rubio-Sprague – 855 Old Turnpike, Salisbury		
26		Kristi Leger – Penny Loafer Lane		
27		Marilyn Jonas – 27 Fairfield Lane		
28		Ashley Saari MLT		
29				
30				
31	1) Call to or	der by the Chairperson		
32	N. Faiman on	pened the meeting at 7:33pm, introduced the board members, and presented the		
33	•	olic Hearing. Items on the Notice of Public Hearing to be continued to November		
34		meeting include:		
35	Isaac	Frye Holdings, LLC, has appealed a decision of the Wilton Planning Board that,		
36	notwithstanding the variance granted on November 9, 2021 in Wilton ZBA Case			
37	#5/11/21-1, the excavation on Lot F-3-2, Isaac Frye Highway, which is permitted by that			
38		nce is or would be contrary to sections 6.1, 9B.6.1, and 9B.6.4 of the Wilton Zoning		
39	Ordina	ance. (Case #4/12/22-1)		
40	J. Eckstrom	MOVED to continue Case #4/12/22-1 to November 8, 2022. J. Stone		
41	SECONDED.			
42	Discussion: I	None		

- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 44 Faiman, aye.
- 45 Motion carries.

46

- 47 N.Faiman then asked that since there are a number of items on the agenda, and the meeting
- 48 will adjourn at 10:30, if there were any other cases that would also continue their hearing to the
- 49 special October 18th meeting. Items continued to October 18, 2022:
- San-Ken Homes, Inc. has requested a special exception under section <u>11.4</u> of the Wilton Zoning Ordinance to allow construction of a driveway that would cross a wetland area in conjunction with a proposed subdivision of Lot A-44-1, Barrett Hill Road.(Case #10/11/22-1)
- J. Eckstrom MOVED to continue Case #10/11/22-1 to October 18, 2022. J. Stone SECONDED.
- 56 Discussion: None
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 58 Faiman, aye.
- 59 Motion carries.

60 61 62

• Stadium Graphics, LLC has requested a special exception under section <u>8.6.1</u> of the Wilton Zoning Ordinance to allow structural expansion on and the commercial/industrial use of Lot F-17, 40 West Intervale Road, which cannot satisfy the Industrial District lot size, buffer and setback requirements. (Case #10/11/22-2)

65 66

63

64

- J. Eckstrom MOVED to continue Case #10/11/22-2 to October 18, 2022. J. Stone
- 68 **SECONDED**.
- 69 Discussion: None
- 70 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 71 Faiman, ave.
- 72 Motion carries.

73

- **74 2) Minutes**
- J. Klinghoffer MOVED to reopen the Minutes of August 9, 2022. J. Stone SECONDED.
- 76 Discussion: None
- 77 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 78 Faiman, ave.
- 79 Motion carries.

80

J. Klinghoffer pointed out that P. Howd attended the meeting remotely and the minutes need to reflect that fact. N. Faiman pointed out that an explanation must be included. In addition to adding (remote) the statement that P. Howd attended remotely out of state in guarantine.

84

- 85 J. Klinghoffer MOVED to approve the Minutes of August 9, 2022 as amended. P.
- 86 Levesque SECONDED.
- 87 Discussion: None
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 89 Faiman, aye.
- 90 Motion carries.

91

- 92 J. Klinghoffer MOVED to review and approve the Minutes of September 13, 2022 as
- 93 amended. P. Howd SECONDED.
- 94 Discussion: Review of minutes. Corrections identified.
- Vote: J. Eckstrom aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 96 Faiman, aye.
- 97 Motion carries.

98

- 99 J. Eckstrom MOVED to review and approve the Minutes of October 8 Site Walk of 49
- 100 Maple St., Case #9/13/2022-1 as submitted. J. Klinghoffer SECONDED.
- 101 Discussion: Review of minutes.
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 103 Faiman, aye.
- 104 Motion carries.

105

- 106 J. Eckstrom MOVED to review and approve the Minutes of October 8 Site Walk of Lot B-
- 107 97, 317 Forest Road, Case #9/13/2022-2 as corrected. P. Levesque SECONDED.
- 108 Discussion: Review of minutes. Corrections identified.
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N.
- 110 Faiman, ave.
- 111 Motion carries.

112

- 113 **3) Public Hearings**
- 114 Case#6/14/22-1
- On August 9, 2022, the Zoning Board denied Susan M. Latham's request for variances to
- sections 5.1(d), 5.5.1, and 5.5.3(b) of the Wilton Zoning Ordinance to allow construction of a
- detached garage containing an accessory dwelling unit on Lot K-89, 23 Clark Court, where the
- ordinance allows accessory dwelling units only in the same dwelling as the primary dwelling
- unit. The Zoning Board will consider Ms. Latham's request that it hold a new hearing on the
- case. The decision on whether to grant a rehearing is made on the basis of the written request
- and the case record. No additional testimony, evidence, or other input will be taken.
- 122 N. Faiman read the amended request and explained the process of granting a request for a new
- hearing. He stated that if the request was denied no further action could be taken.
- 124 Voting Board: J. Eckstrom, J. Stone, P. Levesque, P. Howd, N. Faiman.

- 125 J. Eckstrom said she agreed with the reason for request as it concerns assistance of counsel
- and applicant should have had the assistance of the Land Use Assistant to review the
- application, and believes it would have been approved if the plot plan was included. N. Faiman
- said in respect to point #5, while there was no plot plan, a site visit was conducted and that is
- the same as a plot plan.
- 130 J. Klinghoffer stated that the first four (4) points show hardship. P. Howd stated that there is a
- lack of hardship as it relates to point #1. Later, J. Howd stated that the applicant can not
- interrupt while the process is closed to public. J. Klinghoffer stated that when the application
- was completed, she could of add something if it had been raised during the review. P. Howd
- disagreed. P. Levesque stated that the lot size is .22 acres and if granted the variance would
- 135 allow 2 houses on that lot.
- 136 N. Faiman stated that Section 1, general purpose of ordinance is not specific. The application
- was denied and still believes it to still be true.
- 138 J. Klinghoffer commented that the size of the lot is a hardship. It is exceptionally small
- 139 compared to other lots in the area. P. Howd commented there is no demonstration of a
- hardship. J. Klinghoffer stated that the size of the lot is a hardship. J. Eckstrom stated that the
- hardship is in the size and configuration of the lot; if the applicant is asked to satisfy the
- ordinance, she would violate/crowd open space and referenced the Intervale case where they
- were allowed to put an apartment on top of garage even though there were two separate
- buildings. The garage, in that case was not attached to the main building.
- J. Stone stated that to address the hardship is difficult regarding the state statute which needs
- 146 to be met.
- J. Klinghoffer stated that the applicant may be able to show hardship if they were granted a
- rehearing. She also asked the board to be open to reconsider. N. Faiman stated that granting
- a rehearing may be reasonable to see if we had made a mistake.
- 150 J. Eckstrom pointed out point #1 and point #2. N. Faiman stated that besides the two choices of
- building above the garage or building in compliance with the ordinance attached to the main
- building, the third choice would be to not build. J. Stone stated that the 4th choice would be to
- take away the garage and build in a different space.
- 154 Discussion concluded.
- 155 J. Stone MOVED to grant a rehearing. J. Eckstrom SECONDED.
- 156 Discussion: None
- 157 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P, Howd, nay, N.Faiman, nay.
- 158 Motion carries.
- 159
- N. Faiman reminded board and public that during a New Hearing that what was already said
- need not be resaid. And, the applicant is free to remake the case at the November hearing.
- A new application is not needed.

- New evidence, information, and argument are to be presented at the rehearing.
- Applicant does not have to pay for remailing abutter notice.
- Applicant is entitled to one free rehearing.

166 *Case#9/13/22-1 Continuance*

- 167 Willreign Properties, LLC has requested variances to sections 5.1 and 5.2 of the Wilton Zoning
- Ordinance to allow two dwelling units in the existing rectory building and three dwelling units and an
- office and workshop in the existing church building at Lot K-72, 47 Maple Street and 49 Maple
- 170 Street, where the ordinance does not allow more than one dwelling unit, more than one dwelling, or
- 171 commercial uses. (<u>Case #9/13/22-1</u>)
- N. Faiman read the summary of the case.
- 173 Voting board: J. Eckstrom, P. Lavesque, P Howd, J. Klingoffer, and N. Faiman. J. Stone recused
- 174 himself.
- 175 Applicant stated that the plans have changed now to only allow 3 dwelling units and one office space
- in the church building. The plan to include a workshop has been removed. J. Eckstrom asked if
- those changes were included in the plans shown at the site-walk which included 3 dwelling units and
- a parking plan. Applicant, Ms. R-Sprague, responded that the original variance included the 2
- 179 rectory units which are now complete. The new plans now show 3 dwelling units and 1 office space
- in the church building.
- N. Faiman asked if the office is based on what the new owner needs. J. Eckstrom stated that there
- are no plans and the space would be open and if necessary, the new owner would have to come
- back to get relief from the board after purchasing the property. N. Faiman stated that the office
- space is vague and offered suggestions on changing the wording in the application.
- Kristi Legere, representative for applicant, stated that the parking plan was approved in 2017.
- However, P. Howd pointed out that is irrelevant because that variance expired. K. Legere
- stated they will include suggestions and change the language.
- P. Howd stated that at the site walk, they reviewed the new site plan and calculated that
- approximately 17 parking spaces were required. K. Legere stated the plan shows 17+ parking
- spaces. N. Faiman referenced the 2017 decision that the office was for personal use and no
- 191 employees and that there is no employees now. K. Legere stated they don't want to leave
- space open. The Applicant also stated that there was a possibility of putting a resident dwelling
- 193 upstairs and leave 2 dwelling units and a small office downstairs. P. Howd asked about the
- building setback and asked if parking is in the setback. J. Eckstrom stated that the planning
- board approved parking. P. Howd stated that the applicant/owner would have to come back if
- the plan violates 5.2.3 Zoning ordinance associate use for driveway. If parking spaces violate
- the ordinance, they will need another variance. J. Eckstrom recollected that the layout of
- 198 parking was reviewed by Planning Board. N. Faiman confirmed.
- 199 P. Howd pointed out that site plans should be on a scaled diagram. Applicant showed
- documents with parking approved by planning board. P. Howd stated that parking appears to
- 201 be within the setback limits.

- 202 P. Howd asked how many bedrooms are on the property. Applicant responded saying 9? in the
- rectory and 8-9 bedrooms in the church building. P. Howd stated that they may be required to 203
- have handicapped parking space for the office as commercial space not home occupancy. N. 204
- Faiman stated that "commercial" is permitted use in a residential area therefor it is commercial 205
- 206 property.
- 207 N. Faiman stated he would grant variances if the language was carried from previous hearing.
- 208 J. Eckstrom agreed and added the following change to the wording of and relating to the church
- 209 building property, the 3 apartments, and office update along with the removal of references to
- 210 workshops. P. Howd stated that the reference to office should include "the office is for the
- 211 exclusive personal use of owner and there would be no client visits. This is to address the
- 212 parking and traffic concerns. K. Leger asked if parking on the street between 8-5 is permitted. It
- 213 was pointed out that the variance cannot limit street parking. N. Faiman updated the statement
- 214 to be "the exclusive, personal, noncommercial use".
- 215 J. Klinghoffer asked if the property is under contract. N. Faiman then asked if the owner would
- be a corporation. J. Klinghoffer asked if the word "personal" should be removed. N. Faiman 216
- 217 stated that it implies a person will use the office. P. Howd wants to make sure it is clear in the
- 218 decision.
- 219 J. Eckstrom MOVED to grant the variance as amended. P. Levesque SECONDED.
- Discussion: J. Klinghoffer asked if she could vote as she had not attended the meeting in May. 220
- 221 N. Faiman stated she could. Also, a yes vote means the variance will be granted.
- 222 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, abstain, N. Faiman,
- 223 ave.
- 224 Motion carries.
- 225
- 226 N. Faiman read the Finding of Facts.
- 227
- 228 J. Eckstrom MOVED to adopt the Finding of Facts. J. Klinghoffer SECONDED.
- 229 Discussion:
- 230 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- Motion carries. 231
- 232 233
- 234
- 235 Case#9/13/22-2 - continuance
- 236 Gregory Marshall Allen has requested variances to sections 4.2(b), 4.6, 8.1, 8.2.1, 8.2.4, 8.5,
- 237 12.3(c), and 17.1(c) of the Wilton Zoning Ordinance to allow the construction of a single-family home
- 238 and septic system on Lot B-97, 317 Forest Road, where residential uses are not allowed in the
- Industrial District, the septic system would be closer than allowed to wetlands, the applicant requests 239
- 240 a waiver of the requirement for a snow removal plan, the proposed construction would be closer
- than allowed to the front lot line, the lot does not satisfy the Aquifer District density requirements, 241
- 242 and the proposed development would re-establish a discontinued nonconforming use. (Case
- 243 #9/13/22-2)
- 244 N. Faiman read the summary.

- Voting Board: J. Eckstrom, J. Stone, P. Levesque, P. Howd, N. Faiman
- J. Eckstrom stated her concern regarding access to the property and the location of the curb
- 247 cut. Applicant representative, Chris Guida, responded that they already exist. While there are
- large trees, they don't block the sight distance. J. Stone asked if the largest fire apparatus
- 249 could get into the site. ? said he could confirm.
- 250 N. Faiman asked the public if there were any comments. There was no response.
- 251 P. Howd MOVED to close the public hearing. P. Levesque SECONDED.
- 252 Discussion:
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- 254 Motion carries.
- J. Eckstrom stated she had heard everything and that the application should be granted. N. Faiman
- 256 stated that some of the variances are not necessary and that they should be denied as not
- 257 necessary. In particular, 17.1.c and 12.3.c should be denied as not necessary. They remaining
- 258 should be granted. J. Eckstrom asked how those should be denied and N. Faiman explained that
- 259 the requested variances to be denied should be removed from the application.
- J. Eckstrom MOVED to reopen the public hearing. P. Howd SECONDED.
- 261 Discussion: None.
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- 263 Motion carries.
- N. Faiman asked the Applicant to remove the 2 variances (17.1.c and 12.3.c) as not necessary.
- 265 Applicant agreed.
- 266 J. Eckstrom MOVED to remove the 2 variances from application. P. Levesque
- 267 **SECONDED.**
- 268 Discussion: There was a request to know the remaining variance and N. Faiman stated they
- 269 were, 4.2, 4.6, 8.1, 8.2.1, 8.2.4, and 8.5.
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- 271 Motion carries.
- 272 P. Howd MOVED to grant the amended application. J. Eckstrom SECONDED.
- 273 Discussion: None
- Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- 275 Motion carries.
- N. Faiman reviewed the process and the right to hold a rehearing in 30 days.
- N. Faiman then reviewed the Finding of Facts.
- 278 J. Eckstrom MOVED to approve the Finding of Facts. J. Stone SECONDED.
- 279 Discussion:
- 280 Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, P. Howd, aye, N. Faiman, aye.
- 281 Motion carries.

283	Case#10/11/22-1
283	Case#10/11/22-

- 284 Karney Gravell has requested a special exception under section <u>5.3.7</u> of the Wilton Zoning
- Ordinance and/or a variance to section 5.2.1 of the Wilton Zoning Ordinance to allow a second
- dwelling unit in an addition to the existing dwelling on Lot F-35-1, 137 Intervale Road, where the
- lot has less area than is required by the Ordinance for two dwelling units.
- N. Faiman read the summary and outlined the process. He stated that the applicant and
- speakers identify themselves, present their request for the variance and why the variance is
- 290 necessary and why the board should grant the variance. The board and the public may ask
- 291 questions. The public must present questions to the board only.
- Voting Board: J. Eckstrom, J. Stone, P. Levesque, J. Klinghoffer, N. Faiman.
- 293 Michael Gravell & Karney Gravell, applicants, are asking for a special exception, 5.3.7, to be
- amended to application. N. Faiman asked if the barn is connected. Applicant responded yes,
- but the addition is on the opposite side of the house away from the barn. N. Faiman asked if
- there is enough room for a setback. Applicant responded ves.
- 297 N. Faiman stated it is not an ADU.
- Linda Kelly, abutter, at #1 Riverbend Way stated that Interval Rd. has become very busy with
- 299 several multi-unit dwellings and lots of cars. It is a small piece of land on a small street and she
- 300 opposes the variance.
- 301 Applicant responded that they have 2 children and enough space for 5 cars in the driveway.
- They owned the property and with a heart condition, it is their plan to have their in-laws close to
- 303 help with care.
- J. Eckstrom asked if the property is on town sewer and water and asked the size of the lot.
- Applicant responded that yes, they are connected and the size of the lot is .46 acres.
- 306 N. Faiman asked if they have enough space for 4 parking spaces. Applicant said yes, it can
- 307 accommodate 4+ cars.
- 308 J. Eckstrom asked if the two units are completely separate and if the plan included a full kitchen.
- 309 Applicant responded they would be separate and they could be independent.
- 310 J. Stone asked if is this was an ADU or duplex. N. Faiman stated the special exception was for
- 311 preexisting structures to allow a second dwelling with 1/3 coverage and off-street parking. J.
- 312 Stone wanted to know when it becomes a duplex because of the size.
- 313 J. Eckstrom asked what is the relief. N. Faiman clarified because variance is for 2 dwelling
- units, special exemption 5.3.7 would apply. There is no need for hardship as of March 1989. It
- does allow for construction up to 800 sq ft.

316 317	J. Eckstrom stated that an ADU for the in-law unit would have access between units and would allow to build up to 1350 sq ft.
318 319 320	N. Faiman stated that the variance is allowed and the special exception permits variance with conditions in the ordnance. An ADU allows an addition but not over 800 sq ft. There was discussion on if the house was sold with 2 dwelling units.
321	P. Levesque said he would like to do a site visit before the Oct 18 th special board meeting.
322 323	Lisa Quinto, applicant's in-law, addressed Linda Kelly's concerns about traffic by stating that most of the traffic is from the businesses along the road.
324 325 326 327 328	P. Howd asked if there was a site plan that can be shared with the board and if it addressed the criteria of the Special Exception of only 33% coverage, to check on plans and a map from town. J. Eckstrom asked if the planned construction was staked out and applicant responded yes. N. Faiman stated hearing notices to public must be sent to let people know what is being discussed.
329 330 331 332 333 334	J. Eckstrom MOVED to schedule and conduct site visit at Lot F-17, 40 West Intervale Road. J. Stone SECONDED. Discussion: Site Visit will be conducted at 11:00am on Sunday, October 16, 2022. Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N. Faiman, aye. Motion carries.
335 336 337 338 339	J. Klinghoffer MOVED to continue hearing to October 18, 2022. J. Eckstrom SECONDED. Discussion: None Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N. Faiman, aye. Motion carries.
340 341 342 343 344	J. Klinghoffer MOVED to adjourn. J. Eckstrom SECONDED. Discussion: None Vote: J. Eckstrom, aye, J. Stone, aye, P. Levesque, aye, J. Klinghoffer, aye, N. Faiman, aye. Motion carries.
345 346	Respectfully submitted by Margaret A Duggan, Acting Secretary Approved on XX.XX.XXXX