

1 2 3		CONTRACTO REC	
4	Date:	Tuesday, November 14, 2023 at 7:00 PM	
5	Location:	Wilton Town Hall Courtroom, 42 Main Street	
6	Board Members Present:	Peg Duggan (alternate); Neil Faiman; Joanna Eckstrom; Jeff Stone	
7	Board Members Absent:	Judith Klinghoffer; Linda Jennings (alternate)	
8	Board Secretary:	Caryn Case	
9	Attendees:	Jared Fait (applicant); Lisa Perenzin; Shawn Dunphy, Esq; Gary	
10		Lord; Michael Ewing; Ben Piche; Amanda Tongue; Steve Proctor;	
11		Gail Proctor; Walt Bausha; Jeffrey Abbott; Wendy Cheney; Jose	
12		Ramos (applicant); Anayn Elson; Corey Fong; Dana Lorden	
13		(applicant)	
14			
15	I. Call to Order		
16		to order at 7:03 PM. Neil Faiman said the Zoning Board of Adjustment	
17		sks at meetings. He identified an attendee who was not wearing a mask	
18	which started a debate.		
19 20			
20	J Stone felt we could not restrict an attendee from being present who chooses not to wear a mask and suggested the Board change the order of the meeting to permit that attendee to speak and		
21 22	leave early.	ange the order of the meeting to permit that attendee to speak and	
22	leave early.		
23 24	IK Eckstrom voiced concern	and about attendees not wearing masks in regards to those who might	
25	JK Eckstrom voiced concerned about attendees not wearing masks in regards to those who might be experiencing an ailment and find that mask wearing is a comforting precaution.		
26			
27	A Elson, attendee, was again	ist wearing a mask for personal reasons and remarked that there is no	
28	study that mask wearing is effective.		
29	, 3		
30	K Eckstrom said she would	feel more at ease if the attendee not wearing a mask stood in the hall	
31	-	which the attendee agreed, and a chair was provided.	
32			
33	N Faiman then continued th	e meeting by asking attendees to acknowledge their presence on the	
34	sign-in sheet, and if requesti	ng to speak to identify themselves by name, address, occupation and	
35		advised the attendees it was their right to be able to hear the Board,	
36	and a request to speak-up w	ould be respected.	
		11.14.2023	

37			
38	N Faiman introduced the Board that was present and said all members would sit and vote on the		
39	cases that evening.		
40			
41	Last, N Faiman said the meeting will end at 9:30 unless the Board unanimously votes to continue.		
42	He said the meeting would run no later than ten (10) PM.		
43			
44	2. Minutes		
45	JK Eckstrom asked to defer the minutes as they are not applicable to any cases this evening.		
46			
47	N Faiman asked the Board if all were in agreement, to which the Board agreed.		
48			
49	3. Fait's Property Maintenance, Public Hearing NEW		
50	Case #11/14/2023-01		
51	Fait's Property Maintenance, LLC has requested a variance to section 5.2.1 of the Wilton Zoning		
52	Ordinance to construct a single-family home on a lot which is smaller than the minimum lot size		
53	required by the Ordinance on Lot J-112-1, Island Street and Mill Street.		
54			
55	N Faiman read the applicants request and summarized the process of presentation and response.		
56			
57	S Dunphy, Esq. said his client is requesting a variance to reduce the area requirement to allow		
58	construction of a single family dwelling unit on a pre-existing non-conforming lot. He said the lot is		
59	located in the Residential District.		
60			
61	S Dunphy, Esq. said granting the variance was in the spirit of the zoning ordinance by permitting its		
62	residential use. Also, granting the variance would do substantial justice as loss to the applicant		
63	would be far greater than it would be to the general public. He said the proposed residential use is		
64	consistent with the character of the neighborhood, would not diminish surrounding property		
65 62	values, nor impact the health or welfare of the residents. Most importantly, he said parking was not		
66 67	an issue.		
67	C.D. a. L. Even and data and data and data and data and the state of the distribution of the state of the sta		
68 60	S Dunphy, Esq. said the history and size of the property are its distinguishing characteristics and		
69 70	contribute to its hardship. He said a variance is necessary for its reasonable use.		
	IK Eductrom asked about cite access and on cite parking. I Eait said access to the residence would		
71 72	JK Eckstrom asked about site access and on-site parking. J Fait said access to the residence would be on Mill Street. L Perenzin said the driveway would accommodate up to four (4) parking spaces.		
72 73	be on this street. It is end in and the universal would accommodate up to rout (4) parking spaces.		
73 74	J Stone asked about the proposed building plans and if they would mirror the character of other		
74 75	homes in the area. S Dunphy, Esq. said the goal is to stay within the characteristics of the		
76	architecture of the neighborhood.		

77	77			
78	Neil opened the floor to attendee comment.			
79	79			
80	80 G Proctor distributed written comments to the Board objecting the varian	ce on a lot that is less		
81	81 than what is required. She said she disagrees with the applicant's narrative	and said the proposed		
82	82 home will reduce values and overwhelm the area.			
83	83			
84		G Proctor said the best use of the lot would be to combine it with the neighboring lot to		
85	accommodate parking for that multi-unit residential dwelling unit as well as to resolve safety and			
86				
87				
88	, , ,	aring.		
89				
90		SECONDED.		
91 02				
92 02	,			
93	,			
94 05				
95 96				
90 97		cipation and then re		
97 98		•		
99		at may have missed.		
100		as presented for the		
101		•		
102				
103		s it unusual. He said the		
104	· · · /	applicant could leave it as an open field as a generous donation to the community, but that was not		
105	105 a viable solution for the applicant.			
106	106			
107	J Stone was assured the lot resulted from a subdivision in 1959, but not giv	en a parcel number until		
108	108 1970. The applicant's Exhibit 'C' describes the parcel as a separate lot at a	1970. The applicant's Exhibit 'C' describes the parcel as a separate lot at a time which preceded the		
109	109 1971 Wilton Zoning Ordinance.			
110	110			
111	•	nd the attendees		
112				
113				
114				
115	ordinance, substantial justice, property values, and literal enforcement of th	ordinance, substantial justice, property values, and literal enforcement of the ordinances, the		

116 117	applicant's responses and cited the findings	•	uasive. In summary, he said, the hardship justifies the request
118	and cited the infants	•	The lot size is 0.25 acres;
110		•	
120		•	The lot satisfies the Ordinance definition of a "Lot," but not a
121		·	"Lot of Record;"
122		•	The lot existed with its present dimensions at least as long
123			ago as 1954;
124		•	The applicant proposes to construct a single-family home, as
125			illustrated on a "Proposed Construction Plan" that was
126			submitted in Case #6/13/2023-4;
127		•	
128			acres per dwelling unit for residential uses in the Residential
129			District;
130 131		•	Notwithstanding Section 5.2.1, Zoning Ordinance Section 17.2(a) would allow use of the lot for a single family dwelling
132			only if it were a lot of record.
133			
134	N Faiman made a mo	tion to approve	e the request for a variance with cited facts and applicant's
135	responses to the crit	• •	
136			
137	JK Eckstron	n MOVED to	grant the request for a variance to section 5.2.1 of the
138	Wilton Zon	ing Ordinance	e. A Hoar SECONDED.
139			
140	J Stone MO	VED to re-op	en the public hearing. A Hoar SECONDED.
141	There was no	o discussion.	
142	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5
143		nays	0
144		abstain:	0
145	Motion was carried.		
146			
147	Neil opened the floo	r to the attende	ees one last time. There was no additional discussion. N Faiman,
148	then called for a vote		
149			
150	Roll Call Vote	e: P Duggan	aye
151		A Hoar	aye
152		N Faiman	aye
153		JK Eckstrom	aye
154		J Stone	aye
155	Motion was c	•	,
156			

157

N Faiman instructed the applicant that a Notice of Decision would be forthcoming, and that the 158 Select Board, abutters, and any person directly involved in the hearing affected by the decision has 159 the right to ask the Zoning Board of Adjustment to reconsider their decision by way of written 160 request no more than 30 days after the decision was made explaining the reasons why the decision 161 162 should be changed. He stated that any activity/progress by the applicant during that period on the request could be at risk if the decisions is overturned at a rehearing. 163 164 3. RA-LO & Associates, LLC, Public Hearing NEW 165 166 Case # 11/14/2023/02 Ra-Lo and Associates, LLC has requested a variance to section 5.2.1 of the Wilton Zoning 167 Ordinance to allow construction of a three-family home on Lot K-105-3-1, Pine Valley Street, where 168 169 the Ordinance requires one-half acre per dwelling unit. 170 171 N Faiman read the requests for both cases and stated that since the requests are substantially the same request for each lot with exception to lot size and dimension, he asked the applicant to 172 present both cases together, mentioning however, the Board would vote on each lot, individually. 173 (Subsequently, the Board discussed, made a decision and voted on both lots together.) 174 175 176 | Ramos distributed enlarged versions of the proposed lot plan, while D Lorden presented their objectives and the lots hardships: 177 178 To provide necessary workforce housing commensurate with that threshold determined for the Town of Wilton; 179 • To blend in with the existing character of the neighborhood and increase property 180 values; and 181 • Limit the area of development to mirror the building envelopes referenced in the 182 subdivision plan notes approved by the Planning Board, January 2022. Additionally, 183 extending the town water line, adding a neighborhood fire hydrant, and protecting 184 Tannery Brook. 185 The two lots combined are not large enough to allow use of a well in lieu of installing a 186 187 costly water line and stormwater system to protect the brook; The two lots, subdivided, require construction of single-family dwelling units that would 188 overshadow the character of the neighborhood and reduce property values just to 189 accommodate the cost to install the water line and stormwater system required to 190 protect the brook; thus 191 • The only reasonable and practical use of these two lots are to blend in with the 192 surrounding neighborhoods of single and multi-family dwelling units, allowing our multi-193 family dwelling unit proposal which will assure the water line and protection of Tannery 194 195 Brook are compliant and maintained. 196

N Faiman asked about the site work regarding the water line and stormwater system. D Lorden 197 indicated the required water line would be 150' to 200' through ledge making it a costly investment 198 that could not be supported construction of just two (2) single family dwelling units. 199 200 IK Eckstrom identified herself as Commissioner of the Sewer and Clerk for the Water Board and 201 confirmed the installation of the water line in this location was NOT a convenient undertaking. She 202 203 further asked for the proposed location of the fire hydrant. | Ramos confirmed the fire hydrant 204 would be located next to the driveway. 205 206 IK Eckstrom inquired about the building design; asked if the intent was to rent or sell the units; and 207 how the land around the proposed dwelling units would be maintained. 208 D Lorden said it was their intent to sell the units as workforce housing. He said the land 209 210 surrounding the building enveloped would be maintained by a Homeowner's Association, and a 211 Stormwater Maintenance Agreement would be recorded with easement rights given the Town of 212 Wilton. 213 214 N Faiman said the Planning Board had been quite concerned about controlling the runoff that might reach Tannery Brook or run down the street. 215 216 217 N Faiman read into the record two (2) letters of support received from the Home Economic 218 Development Team lead by J Beck, and the other by K Williams. N Faiman then opened the 219 discussion to attendees. 220 221 Abbott, abutter, said he felt the proposal would change the neighborhood significantly. He said Tannery Brook runs through his land and inquired about the water impacts. 222 223 224 W Cheney asked if the stormwater requirements had changed since Buchanan Construction owned the lot. N Faiman said Buchanan had received a variance to control water issues which the Planning 225 Board established as part of the subdivision plan approval. 226 227 228 W Cheney remarked that existing conditions flood her lot as well as her neighbor B Piche. 229 230 B Piche said he has about a foot of water from proposed lot run-off and felt the proposed 231 development would incur more flooding. 232 233 N Faiman said these issues were considered by the Planning Board with the water controls that 234 were required and are enforceable, and that this discussion was not our jurisdiction. 235 236 M Ewing feels adding the additional traffic generated by the proposal is too much for this area.

W Cheney feels two (2) single family dwellings is more reasonable and anything more is overkill. 237 She said the addition of six (6) residential dwelling units could generate up to 12 drivers which is 238 too dangerous on that curve and her blind drive. Additionally, it would impede the sale of her home 239 240 down the road. 241 N Faiman said the proposed ordinance changes would only allow up to two (2) dwelling units per 242 243 lot. 244 245 | Ramos agreed that traffic will increase, however, he said the new water line and stormwater 246 maintenance system will be a benefit and improve currently existing conditions. Furthermore, he 247 said there would be enough parking on-site and would not impact Pine Valley Street. 248 IK Eckstrom offered up a suggestion for two (2) residential dwelling units in lieu of three (3) units 249 250 per lot, and P Dugan suggested one (1) unit on the smaller lot and two (2) units on the larger lot. | 251 Ramos, emphasized the required water and stormwater costs make the latter prohibitive. He reminded the Board that development of these lots will improve all conditions. 252 253 254 N Faiman reminded the Board the water line is not a trivial project and would be constructed before foundations were laid. IK Eckstrom said the cost of the water line is significant to the 255 256 developer. 257 258 B Piche asked why this multi-family proposal does not deviate from the neighborhood. M Ewing said there were many two (2) and three (3) family dwelling units in the surrounding neighborhoods. 259 260 Stone pointed out that the density of two (2) dwelling units per lot is still smaller than the density of the neighborhood. 261 262 263 N Faiman indicated that in approximately four (4) months, as a matter-of-right, the applicant would 264 be able to build two (2) dwelling units on each lot if a proposed amendment to the zoning 265 ordinance is approved at Town meeting. 266 267 N Faiman said that based on what has been heard from the Board and community the applicant 268 could withdraw their application without prejudice and return in the Spring, return with another 269 option, or the ask the Board to deliberate on the request of two (2) dwelling units per lot. 270 D Lorden requested to amend their application to limit development to two (2) residential dwelling 271 units per lot. N Faiman asked the Board to accept the amended application request and deliberate. 272 IK Eckstrom asked to continue the hearing for additional time to acquire answers about the runoff 273 274 and plans for water line. A Hoar questioned if that was any of the Board's business. D Lorden 275 reminded the Board, those items had already been approved by the Planning Board. N Faiman further commented that the stormwater issues had been reviewed at length by the Planning Board 276 277 and he didn't see value in additional information.

278 279	M Ewing said the neig	shbors were opp	posed to the project.	
280	N Faiman told the att	tondoos the zon	ing board is a logal bod	ly and while opinions and information
280	N Faiman told the attendees the zoning board is a legal body and while opinions and information provided by the abutters are important, the abutters do not have a veto in the voting process. He			
282	assured the attendees the Board has heard their reasons as to why they feel the proposal is			
283	inappropriate.			
284				
285	W Cheney advised th	ne Board to driv	e Pine Valley Street to	experience the visibility issues. J K
286	•		,	e Board acts on the amended application.
287				
288	JK Eckstron	n MOVED to a	continue the hearing	g to December 12, 2023 and
289	schedule a s	ite visit. J Sto	ne SECONDED.	
290	There was no	o discussion.		
291	Voice Vote:	ayes:	2	
292		nays	3	
293		abstain:	0	
294	Motion was N	VOT carried.		
295				
296	J Stone MO	VED to delibe	rate on the amende	ed application request to reduce the
297	number of r	residential dwo	elling units from six	(6) units to four (4) total units. A
298	Hoar SECO	NDED.		
299	There was no	o discussion.		
300	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
301		nays	0	
302		abstain:	0	
303	Motion was c	arried.		
304				
305	A Hoar MO	VED to close	the public hearing.	P Duggan SECONDED.
306	There was no	o discussion.		
307	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
308		nays	0	
309		abstain:	0	
310	Motion was c	arried.		
311				
312	JK Eckstron	n MOVED to a	adjourn the public h	earing at ten (10) PM. P Duggan
313	SECONDE	SECONDED.		
314	There was no	There was no discussion.		
315	Voice Vote:	ayes:	I	
316		nays	2	
317		abstain:	2	

318	Motion was N	NOT carried.		
319				
320	N Faiman said he preferences the amended request. A Hoar noted the expense to make the lots			
321	buildable, as required by the Planning Board, could not be supported by single family dwelling units.			
322	N Faiman said these were exceptionally large lots for the district and the density request is			
323		• •		t two (2) dwelling units per lot was a
324		•		ighborhood fire hydrant to be
325	installed. P Duggan w	as in agreement	the amended proposal b	etter fits the neighborhood.
326				
327			• ••	elt the common drive would reduce
328				fic could not be accurately reasoned
329	as the number of pot	ential drivers pe	household could not be	e determined.
330				
331		•	•	ance to section 5.2.1 of the
332		0	0	ended application request to
333			-	from six (6) units to four (4)
334	•			
335				
336			ontinue the public he	aring after ten (10) PM. A Hoar
337	SECONDE			
338	There was no		_	
339	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
340		nays	0	
341		abstain:	0	
342	Motion was NOT carried.			
343				
344	-		e-open the public hea	ring. A Hoar SECONDED.
345	There was no		_	
346	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
347		nays	0	
348	NA	abstain:	0	
349	Motion was NOT carried.			
350				
351	Neil opened the floor to the attendees one last time. M Ewing asked about lighting requirements			
352				
353	•		-	••
354 255	substantial expense to	o install the wate	r line and stormwater n	iantenance system.
355	NI Enimon called fam.	wata an the	tion	
356	N Faiman, called for a	a vote on the MC	uon.	
357				

358	Roll Call Vote	e: P Duggan	aye	
359		A Hoar	ауе	
360		N Faiman	nay	
361		JK Eckstrom	aye	
362		J Stone	nay	
363	Motion was ca	arried.		
364				
365	N Faiman instructed t	the applicant that a Not	tice of Decision would be forthcoming, and that the	
366	Select Board, abutters	s, and any person direc	tly involved in the hearing and affected by the decision	
367	has the right to ask the Zoning Board of Adjustment to reconsider their decision by way of written			
368	request no more thar	n 30 days after the decis	sion was made explaining the reasons why the decision	
369	should be changed. He stated that any activity/progress by the applicant during that period on the			
370	request could be at ri	isk if the decisions is ov	erturned at a rehearing.	
371				
372	4. Other Business			
373	•	defer J Stone's review of	of the land Law Conference (Zoning Board Track) to	
374	December 12, 2023.			
375				
376	The Board agreed to	table review of the 09.	12.2023 minutes until next meeting.	
377				
378	7. Adjournmer	nt		
379				
380	-		eview of the 11.14.2023 minutes to December	
381	-	Duggan SECONDED).	
382	There was no	discussion.		
383	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
384		nays	0	
385		abstain:	0	
386	Motion was ca	arried.		
387				
388	A Hoar mad	le a MOTION to adj	ourn at 10:22 PM. JK Eckstrom SECONDED.	
389	There was no	discussion.		
390	Voice Vote:	ayes:	5	
391		nays	0	
392		abstain:	0	
393	Motion was ca	arried		
		unneu.		
394				
394 395	Approved 12.12.2023			